Inorganic Chemistry

Bottleneck Effect of *N*,*N*-Dimethylformamide in InOF-1: Increasing CO₂ Capture in Porous Coordination Polymers

Elí Sánchez-González,[†][®] Eduardo González-Zamora,^{*,§} Diego Martínez-Otero,^{||} Vojtech Jancik,^{*,||}[®] and Ilich A. Ibarra^{*,†}[®]

[†]Laboratorio de Fisicoquímica y Reactividad de Superficies (LaFReS), Instituto de Investigaciones en Materiales, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Circuito Exterior s/n, CU, Del Coyoacán, 04510, México D.F., México

[§]Departamento de Química, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana—Iztapalapa, San Rafael Atlixco 186, Col. Vicentina, Iztapalapa, C.P., 09340 Ciudad de México, Mexico

^{II}Centro Conjunto de Investigación en Química Sustentable UAEM–UNAM, Personal del Instituto de Química de la UNAM, Carr. Toluca-Atlacomulco Km 14.5, Toluca, Estado de México 50200, México

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The bottleneck effect of confined N_iN -dimethylformamide (DMF) molecules was observed in InOF-1 for the first time: CO₂ capture was remarkably enhanced in samples of as-synthesized InOF-1, thermally activated in such a way that a small residual amount of DMF molecules remained confined within the pores (DMF@InOF-1). Dynamic CO₂ adsorption experiments on DMF@InOF-1 exhibited a CO₂ capture of 8.06 wt % [1.5-fold higher than that of a fully activated InOF-1 (5.24%)]. DMF@InOF-1 can reversibly adsorb/desorb 8.09% CO₂ with no loss of CO₂ capacity after 10 cycles, and the desorption is accomplished by only turning the CO₂ flow off. Static CO₂ adsorption experiments (at 196 K) demonstrated a 1.4-fold CO₂ capture increase (from 5.5



mmol·g⁻¹, fully activated InOF-1, to 7.5 mmol·g⁻¹, DMF@InOF-1). Therefore, these CO₂ capture properties are the result of the presence of residual-confined DMF molecules within the InOF-1 framework and their interactions via a very strong hydrogen bond with the In₂(μ -OH) groups, which prevent DMF leaching. The stability of this hydrogen bond is given by a perfect fit of the DMF molecule in the "dent" around the OH group that allows a nearly ideal orientation of the DMF molecule towards the OH group.

INTRODUCTION

The growth in atmospheric CO₂ over the past decades is a result of increasing and indiscriminate fossil-fuel combustion.¹ In 2015, global CO₂ emissions have augmented up to 35.7 billion tonnes per year, and 90% of these emissions are solely associated with fossil-fuel combustion.² The continuous rise in CO₂ emissions is directly connected to the continuous rise of temperature across the planet, which contributes to one of the highest threats to our civilization: global warming. Unfortunately, the CO₂ concentration in the atmosphere is expected to increase before a noncarbon-containing fuel takes over as the dominant energy resource.² Given our high degree of dependence on fossil fuels and the technical, economic, and social difficulties of large-scale use of alternative energy options, a drastic reduction of atmospheric CO₂ emissions is essential to minimize the related risks that global warming represents to our planet.

The direct capture of CO_2 from a very concentrated CO_2 stationary source is technically possible and could be potentially cost-effective. For example, in a typical power plant, the

composition of flue gas is CO₂ (10-15%), NO (1500-2500 ppm), and SO₂ (500-2000 ppm).³ NO is transformed by selective catalytic reduction, SO2 is captured by a wet lime scrubber, and CO₂ is vented to the atmosphere.⁴ Therefore, international leaders are intensively boosting many environmental initiatives for the development of new CO₂ capture technologies.⁵ Current technological strategies for CO₂ capture are mainly based on CO₂ absorption in aqueous amines with many disadvantages such as the corrosion of pipelines, heat instability, and high cost for their regeneration.⁶ The cost of CO₂ sequestration can be considerably reduced if an effective CO₂ capture sorbent system is established with the following characteristics: (i) high CO₂ capture capacity (>1000 μ mol· g⁻¹) and (ii) long-term regeneration capacity.⁷ Poliakoff et al.⁸ postulated "the 12 principles of CO₂ chemistry", where CO₂ capture corresponds to one of these principles (maximize

Received: February 28, 2017 Published: April 27, 2017

Inorganic Chemistry

integration) and the use of porous solid materials for this task represents a very promising alternative.

Porous coordination polymers (PCPs) or metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) are highly crystalline materials that show high structure stability, adsorption capacity, and mild regeneration conditions for CO_2 capture and separation.⁹ Enhancement of CO_2 capture in PCPs can be achieved by optimizing intrapore interactions between these materials and CO_2 molecules.¹⁰ Typical synthetic strategies to improve host– guest interactions in PCP materials are based on the functionalization of pores with basic (Lewis) nitrogencontaining groups such as triazole,¹¹ amine,^{10a,12} and tetrazole¹³ and the generation of acid (Lewis) open metal sites.¹⁴

The confinement of solvents inside porous solid supports represents a new and exciting synthetic strategy to improve CO₂ capture.¹⁵ Because the physical properties of the solvents strongly depend on the system scale, the confinement of these in nanostructures considerably modifies their viscosity, density, dielectric constant, and specific heat.¹⁶ For example, Luzar and Bratko¹⁷ predicted [by performing molecular dynamics (MD) computer simulations] a 15-fold increase in CO₂ capture via the confinement of H₂O in a hydrophobic environment. Pelleng et al.¹⁵ confined N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone in a mesoporous material, entitled MCM-41, and demonstrated a 6-fold increase in the CO₂ solubility. Remarkably, Llewellyn et al.¹⁸ reported a 5-fold increase in CO₂ capture by the confinement of H₂O in MIL-100(Fe). Similarly, Walton et al.¹⁹ demonstrated that controlled H₂O adsorption can enhance CO₂ capture in PCPs by incorporating functional groups within the pores of these materials. They showed that hydroxyl (-OH) functional groups act as directing agents for H₂O molecules inside the pores, allowing a more efficient and ordered packing of H₂O.²⁰ Furthermore, Yaghi et al.²¹ proposed that the presence of these functional groups (-OH) improves the affinity of PCP materials to H₂O.

We are interested in developing hybrid adsorbent PCP materials capable of sequestering large amounts of CO₂ (via confinement of DMF in the pores of PCPs) in parallel with our previous studies, where H_2O^{22} and ethanol (EtOH)²³ were confined within the micropores of PCPs, to increase CO₂ capture. In fact, when solvent molecules are confined within PCPs, they partially block their micropores, and this phenomenon results in an enhanced CO₂ capture (due to a more efficient CO₂ packing within PCPs); we designated this singularity as the "bottleneck effect".²³ Conversely to the standard procedure of solvent exchange after PCP materials have been synthesized, herein we report the direct use of thermally activated samples of InOF-1,²⁴ which contain a small residual amount of DMF within the pores of the PCP material. InOF-1 crystallizes in the chiral space group I4122, and it is based on a binuclear $[In_2(\mu_2-OH)]$ building block.²⁴ Each indium(III) center adopts an octahedral coordination environment, with four oxygen donors from four different BPTC⁴⁻ ligands (H_4BPTC = biphenyl-3,3',5,5'-tetracarboxylic acid)²⁵ and two μ_2 -OH groups. InOF-1 shows a 3D framework structure with channel openings of approximately 7.5 Å (considering the van der Waals radii of the surface atoms). In this contribution, we report for the first time, to the best of our knowledge, the CO₂ capture enhancement properties of InOF-1 by confining DMF within its micropores, along with the precise localization of DMF molecules, via single-crystal X-ray diffraction experiments.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Chemicals. Indium nitrate $[In(NO_3)_3]$, biphenyl-3,3',5,5'-tetracarboxylic acid (H₄BPTC), *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (DMF), acetonitrile (CH₃CN), and nitric acid (HNO₃, 65%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received.

Material Synthesis. $In_2(OH)_2(BPTC)$ (InOF-1, where BPTC = biphenyl-3,3',5,5'-tetracarboxylate) was synthesized according to previously reported procedures:²⁴ In(NO₃)₃ (156 mg, 0.40 mmol) and H₄BPTC (33 mg, 0.10 mmol) were dissolved in CH₃CN (5 mL), DMF (5 mL), and HNO₃ (65%, 0.2 mL) and sealed in a pressure tube. The clear solution was heated at 358 K in an oil bath for 72 h. The tube was cooled to room temperature over a period of 12 h, and the colorless crystalline product was separated by filtration, washed with DMF (5 mL), and dried in air. Yield: 72% (based on the ligand). Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) were carried out to assess the purity of the material (see Figures S1 and S2 in the Supporting Information).

Adsorption Isotherms for N₂, CO₂, and H₂O. N₂ isotherms (up to 1 bar and 77 K) were recorded on a Belsorp mini II analyzer under high vacuum in a clean system with a diaphragm pumping system. CO₂ isotherms up to 1 bar and 196 K were recorded on a Belsorp HP (high-pressure) analyzer. Ultrapure grade (99.9995%) N₂ and CO₂ gases were purchased from Praxair. H₂O isotherms were obtained with a humidity-controlled thermobalance (Q5000 SA, from TA) at 303 K.

Kinetic CO₂ Uptake Experiments. Kinetic experiments were performed by using a thermobalance (Q500 HR, from TA) at 303 K with a constant CO₂ flow (60 mL·min⁻¹).

Adsorption Microcalorimetry for CO₂. CO_2 adsorption microcalorimetry experiments were carried out on InOF-1, EtOH@InOF-1, and DMF@InOF-1 at 303 K. The evolved heat was measured using a Tian-Calvet microcalorimeter (CA-100, ITI). This instrument measures the CO₂ isotherm and the enthalpy of adsorption for CO₂ simultaneously using a point-by-point introduction of CO₂ gas to the sample.

Single-Crystal X-ray Diffraction Experiments. Single crystals of as-synthesized InOF-1 were obtained by slow cooling of the reaction mixture after its synthesis. These crystals were separated from the mother liquor, submerged in Paratone oil to protect them from moisture, and used for data collection. A selected crystal was mounted on a Bruker APEX DUO diffractometer equipped with an Apex II CCD detector at 100 K. Frames were collected using ω scans²⁶ and integrated with SAINT.²⁶ Multiscan absorption correction (SA-DABS)²⁶ was applied. The structures were solved by intrinsic phasing $(SHELXT)^{27}$ and refined using full-matrix least squares on \tilde{F}^2 with SHELXL²⁸ within the ShelXle GUI.²⁹ Weighted R factors, R_{w} and all goodness-of-fit indicators are based on $F^{\overline{2}}$. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The hydrogen atoms of the C-H bonds were placed in idealized positions, whereas the hydrogen atom from the OH moiety was localized from the difference electron density map, and its position was refined with U_{iso} tied to the parent atom with distance restraint (DFIX). The disordered DMF molecule was refined using geometry (DFIX and SAME) and U_{ij} restraints (SIMU and RIGU) implemented in *SHELXL*.²⁸ The molecular graphics were prepared using *Mercury* and *GIMP*.³⁰ The surface of the "dent" was calculated with a probe radius of 1.5 Å and a grid spacing of 0.1 Å within Mercury.³¹ CCDC 1518398 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/const/ retrieving.html or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, U.K. [fax (+44)1223-336-033; e-mail deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Single-Crystal X-ray Diffraction Studies. In our previous study, we determined that the increment of CO₂ capture in InOF-1 is the result of a bottleneck effect due to the pores being partially filled by EtOH molecules hydrogen-bonded to the In₂(μ -OH) group.²³ Thus, the crystal structure of the as-

Inorganic Chemistry

synthesized sample of InOF-1 was determined to unambiguously confirm the nature of the interaction of the InOF-1 framework with the DMF molecules (Table 1 and Figure 1).

Table 1. Selected Crystallographic Data for the As-Synthesized InOF-1

chemical formula	C ₁₁ H ₁₁ InNO ₆
formula mass	368.03
cryst syst	tetragonal
a (Å)	15.4702(8)
b (Å)	15.4702(8)
c (Å)	12.3125(6)
α (deg)	90
β (deg)	90
γ (deg)	90
unit cell volume (Å ³)	2946.7(3)
temperature (K)	100(2)
space group	I4 ₁ 22
wavelength (Å)	0.71073
Ζ	8
density (g⋅cm ⁻³)	1.659
absorption factor (mm ⁻¹)	1.623
F(000)	1448
cryst size (mm ³)	$0.246 \times 0.106 \times 0.060$
θ range (deg)	2.114-28.281
limiting indices	$-20 \le h \le 20, -20 \le k \le 20, -16 \le l$ ≤ 16
reflns collected	17397
reflns unique (R_{int})	1842 (0.0272)
no. of data/restraints/param	1842/62/121
GOF on F^2	1.125
$\mathbf{R}_{1},^{a} \mathbf{w} \mathbf{R}_{2}^{b} \left[I > 2\sigma(I) \right]$	0.0180, 0.0493
R_{1} , $^{a} w R_{2}^{b}$ (all data)	0.0185, 0.0496
absolute structure param	0.21(5)
residual electron density (e·Å ⁻³)	0.628/-0.316
SQUEEZE volume of the voids $(Å^3)$	568
SQUEEZE no. of removed electrons (e)	198

 ${}^{a}R_{1} = \sum ||F_{o}| - |F_{c}|| / \sum |F_{o}|. {}^{b}wR_{2} = [\sum w(F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2} / \sum (F_{o}^{2})^{2}]^{1/2}.$



Figure 1. Views of the binuclear building block of two metal-ion oxygen octahedra bridged by a μ_2 -hydroxo group (left), the BPTC^{4–} ligand (center), and the crystal structure of InOF-1 along the *c* axis showing 7.5 Å channels (right).

As expected, the $In_2(\mu$ -OH) group forms a hydrogen bond with the DMF molecule; however, in contrast to the hydrogenbonded EtOH molecule in our previous study,²³ the DMF molecule shows less disorder and is, in fact, involved only in a symmetry-induced disorder caused by its presence in the vicinity of a 2-fold axis. This substantial difference can be rationalized in terms of the present hydrogen bonding, the free space around the $In_2(\mu$ -OH) group, and the shape of the solvent molecule. As can be seen in Figure 2, the –OH group is



Figure 2. Space-filling model of (a) the close ambient of the $In_2(\mu - OH)$ group (b) with 3D topology of the "dent", (c) with a hydrogenbonded EtOH molecule, and (d) with a hydrogen-bonded DMF molecule.

located inside a "dent in the wall" created by two organic BPTC ligands bridging the same $In_4(\mu$ -OH)₃ unit. The shape of the dent is the main reason why DMF binds far more strongly to the –OH group than EtOH. The hydrogen bond is usually described by the O–H, O…O, and H…O distances and the O–H…O angle. These parameters are 0.83(1), 1.84(2), and 2.663(9) Å and 172(2)° for DMF and 0.84(1), 1.97(2), and 2.73(1) Å and 151(2)° for the main position of the EtOH molecule.²³

In the case of EtOH, the geometry around its oxygen atom and specifically the presence of its acidic proton is not compatible with the form of the dent around the $In_2(\mu$ -OH) group, and thus the EtOH molecule cannot assume an ideal position for hydrogen-bonding formation. That is visualized by a longer O…O distance and mainly by the C–O…H angle of 153° between the proton of the $In_2(\mu$ -OH) group and the C– O fragment of the EtOH molecule. In the case of the perfect orientation of the EtOH molecule, this angle should be close to 109° .²³ On the other hand, the orientation of the DMF molecule is nearly perfect because the corresponding angle is with 115°, very close to the theoretical 120° value for sp² hybridization. Also, the O–H···O angle is nearly linear [172(2)°]. Additionally, the methyl group of the EtOH molecule is forced against the "dent's" wall (Figure 2c). All of this allows the DMF molecule to interact very strongly with the In₂(μ -OH) group (Figure 3), explaining the high temperatures



Figure 3. Mutual orientations of the DMF molecule and InOF-1 framework, showing the hydrogen bond between the DMF and $In_2(\mu$ -OH) groups (a) in the direction of the channel and (b) showing details of the hydrogen bond.

(453 K) necessary for activation of the as-synthesized InOF-1 and the high stability of the DMF@InOF-1 $[In_2(OH)_2(BPTC) \cdot DMF_{0.34}]$ material during the repeated cycles of CO₂ adsorption/desorption. It is also worth noting that 4.2 wt % residual DMF in DMF@InOF-1 (vide supra) is equivalent to 1.37 DMF molecules per unit cell (0.17 DMF molecules per μ -OH group) and is practically identical with that found for the maximum bottleneck effect of EtOH in InOF-1 (1.35 EtOH molecules). Thus, in DMF@InOF-1, the adsorption of CO₂ is enhanced by the bottleneck effect, but simultaneously, the flow of CO₂ is not capable of breaking the hydrogen bond between the DMF molecule and the framework, suppressing DMF leaching and making this system reusable.

CO₂ Capture Studies. Dynamic and isothermal CO₂ experiments (kinetic) were carried out on InOF-1 (see Activation Methods in the Supporting Information). Figure 4 (InOF-1) shows the kinetic CO₂ uptake experiment at 303 K. At this temperature, the material showed the maximum weight percentage gain, which indicates the maximum amount of CO₂



Figure 4. Kinetic CO_2 uptake experiments performed at 303 K with a CO_2 flow of 60 mL·min⁻¹ in InOF-1 (black curve), DMF@InOF-1 (4.2 wt % DMF within the pores; red curve), and as-synthesized InOF-1 (blue curve).

captured. This amount corresponded to 5.24 wt %, and it was rapidly reached after only 5 min, remaining constant until the end of the experiment (10 min; Figure 4, InOF-1).

Later, a freshly synthesized sample of InOF-1 was activated (453 K for 1 h and under a flow of N_2) and cooled to 303 K (under N_2). Hereinafter, this sample will be referred to as DMF@InOF-1. It was decided to activate the as-synthesized InOF-1 samples at 453 K for 1 h with the goal of partially removing the DMF molecules from the pores of InOF-1. In fact, by running a high-definition TGA on an as-synthesized sample of InOF-1 [high-resolution (Hi-Res) mode with a heating rate of 5 K·min⁻¹, a Hi-Res setting of 5, and a sensitivity of 1], we managed to estimate the amount of residual DMF within the micropores of DMF@InOF-1 as 4.2 wt % [see Figure S3 (left) in the Supporting Information]. In order to confirm the reproducibility on the amount of residual DMF, five freshly synthesized samples of InOF-1 were analyzed with Hi-Res TGA, and the results are shown in Table S1 (see the Supporting Information). By taking the average of those five experiments, the residual DMF in InOF-1 corresponded to 4.242 wt % (see Table S1 in the Supporting Information). In fact, a fully activated sample of InOF-1 (4 days in acetone followed by 453 K and 10^{-3} bar for 1 h) was saturated with anhydrous DMF, and a TGA experiment [see Figure S3 (right) in the Supporting Information] showed that it can adsorb 28.2 wt % DMF.

Additionally, another fully activated sample of InOF-1 was saturated with anhydrous DMF, and Hi-Res TGA demonstrated that when this DMF-saturated sample reached 453 K, the residual amount of DMF within the pores of DMF corresponded to 6.7 wt % [see Figure S3 (left) in the Supporting Information]. This result showed that when the sample is fully activated, it can adsorb more DMF than the assynthesized sample, and thus we decided to only work with assynthesized samples of InOF-1. From the energy-savings and practical points of view, the direct use of as-synthesized samples of InOF-1 (with only a simple activation step) is much more attractive for any CO₂ capture studies than the much longer (at least 4 days) and energy-consuming solvent-exchange (e.g., acetone) process.

We previously reported that small amounts of H_2O^{22} and EtOH,²³ within the micropores of PCPs, enhanced CO₂ capture. Therefore, we hypothesized that small amounts of DMF occluded inside the microporous channels of InOF-1 (DMF is "pinned" to the μ_2 -OH functional groups via hydrogen bonding) could also improve the CO₂ adsorption capacity of the material. This hypothesis was also complemented by Paesani and co-workers,³² who showed by computational IR spectroscopy that when the material MIL-53(Cr) is loaded with small amounts of H₂O, these can directly interact with the hydroxo (μ_2 -OH) functional groups of the PCP material (hydrogen bonding).

By taking the approach of MD, Haigis et al.³³ proposed that H_2O molecules can form strong hydrogen bonds with the μ_2 -OH functional groups, in MIL-53(Cr), as a function of H_2O loading. Additionally, Maurin et al.³⁴ corroborated by grand canonical Monte Carlo computational simulations, in MIL-53(Cr), that at low H_2O loadings these H_2O molecules are regularly accommodated inside all of the pores of the material.

Then, a kinetic CO_2 experiment (303 K) was carried out on DMF@InOF-1. The adsorption isotherm showed a two-step uptake for the DMF@InOF-1 sample (Figure 4). The first step (from 0 to approximately 0.5 min) showed a very fast CO_2

uptake (~2 wt %), which indicates a high CO_2 affinity of the DMF@InOF-1 material. This affinity was experimentally corroborated by the molar enthalpy of adsorption (ΔH) for CO_2 (vide infra). The maximum amount of CO_2 captured was equal to 8.06 wt % and was achieved within approximately 5 min, and it was constant until the end of the experiment (Figure 4, DMF@InOF-1). Therefore, when there is a residual small amount of DMF (4.2 wt %), CO₂ capture (in InOF-1) was roughly 1.5-fold-augmented (from 5.24 to 8.03 wt %) in comparison to the fully activated InOF-1 sample. Furthermore, the CO₂ adsorption kinetics were considerably improved by the presence of the remaining DMF molecules, within the micropores of InOF-1, because the 1.5-fold increase was reached at the same time (approximately 5 min). Later, a freshly synthesized InOF-1 sample (without any activation protocol) was used for a kinetic CO_2 experiment at 303 K. The total amount of CO₂ captured was approximately equal to 0.1 wt % (Figure 4, as-synthesized InOF-1). In this case, the pores in the as-synthesized InOF-1 sample are filled with the reaction solvent mixture (DMF/CH₃CN/H₂O), and thus the inclusion of CO₂ molecules, into the micropores of the material, was not possible.

As it was stated before, for any CO₂ capture material, it is essential to show a long-term regeneration capacity with lowenergy requirements for CO₂ release.⁷ Certainly, this step is another fundamental factor in the cost of potential industrial separation processes.³⁵ In fact, different regeneration methodologies can be used depending on the characteristics of a given material. One of the most common methods is vacuum- and temperature-swing desorption. Long and co-workers³⁶ reported a working CO_2 capacity (total CO_2 adsorption) of approximately 7 wt % at 25 °C on mmen-CuBTTri. The PCP material was regenerated by switching the flow (15% CO_2 in N_2) to a pure N_2 stream followed by raising the temperature to 60 °C. This treatment was necessary because the enthalpy of adsorption for CO2, for mmen-CuBTTri, was calculated to be $\Delta H = 96 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ (very close to that of chemisorption formation, ca. 100 kJ·mol⁻¹), which indicates a very strong physisorption between CO₂ molecules and the pore walls of the material; therefore, more energy was required for CO_2 release. Yaghi et al.³⁷ reported on Mg-MOF-74 a working CO₂ capacity of 8.9 wt % with full regeneration of the material under purgeflow conditions at 80 °C. Denayer and co-workers³⁸ reported a separation capacity (total CO_2 adsorption) of 3.7 wt % for NH₂-MIL-53 with regeneration of the material at 159 °C under a purge flow.

To test the regeneration properties of DMF@InOF-1, a freshly synthesized sample was subjected to kinetic CO_2 adsorption/desorption experiments at 303 K (Figure 5). Each cycle consists of an adsorption step (15 min) and a desorption step (15 min), enabling a cycling time of only 30 min without the use of N₂ or high temperature.

When only the CO_2 flow was turned off (reducing the pressure: desorption step) at the same adsorption temperature (303 K), complete regeneration of the DMF@InOF-1 material was achieved with no loss of CO_2 capacity even after 10 adsorption/desorption cycles (average CO_2 adsorption = 8.09 wt %; Figure 5). This is a remarkable result because there is no need to use a purge gas (e.g., N₂) and, more importantly, no thermal reactivation of the sample is required, resulting in a very low-cost separation process. The isosteric enthalpy of adsorption, ΔH) was experimentally measured by CO_2



Figure 5. Adsorption/desorption cycling for DMF@InOF-1, demonstrating a reversible CO_2 uptake of 8.09 wt %.

adsorption microcalorimetry (see Figure S4 in the Supporting Information), giving a value of $\Delta H = 45.6 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$, which is a characteristic value for a mild physisorption in PCPs.⁵ In order to establish a comparison of the CO₂ affinity of this material (DMF@InOF-1) with InOF-1 and EtOH-impregnated InOF- 1^{23} the isosteric enthalpy of adsorption for CO₂ for these two materials (InOF-1 and EtOH@InOF-1) was determined in the same way (see Figures S5 and S6 in the Supporting Information). The ΔH values for InOF-1 and EtOH@InOF-1 were 32.4 and 48.7 kJ·mol⁻¹, respectively. Thus, the DMF@ InOF-1 material showed a value of the molar enthalpy of adsorption for CO₂ between the fully activated material (InOF-1) and the material impregnated with EtOH (EtOH@InOF-1) which is also in good agreement with the total CO_2 capture: InOF-1 (5.24 wt %) and EtOH@InOF-1 (14.14 wt %).²³ In addition, the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area of EtOH@InOF-1 was calculated to be 514 $m^2 \cdot g^{-1}$ with a pore volume of 0.28 cm³·g⁻¹ (see Table 2).

The heat of adsorption (ΔH) for DMF, confined in the sample DMF@InOF-1, was experimentally measured by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) from room temperature to 873 K (with a ramp of 5 K·min⁻¹). The ΔH value was equal to 56.6 kJ·mol⁻¹ (see Figure S7 in the Supporting Information). This value is considerably higher than 45.04 kJ·mol⁻¹, which corresponds to the ΔH value for EtOH.²³ The molar enthalpy of vaporization for DMF is 56.7 kJ·mol⁻¹, and the proximity of both enthalpies for DMF (vaporization and adsorption) suggests only one domain of adsorption for DMF, as previously observed for EtOH.²³

From a practical point of view, the use of DMF@InOF-1 for potential CO_2 capture applications has been shown to be promising because of the high working capacity (approximately 8 wt % CO_2) and very low-cost regeneration conditions (without purge flow and at 303 K). With the intention of investigating more characteristics of DMF@InOF-1 for possible postcombustion CO_2 applications, a series of "key" experiments were performed. First, after the CO_2 adsorption/desorption cycling experiments for DMF@InOF-1 (see Figure 5) were carried out, TGA was performed (see Figure S8 in the Supporting Information) with the motivation of further investigating the residual amount of DMF in the MOF material. TGA showed a DMF residual amount of 4.2 wt %, in good agreement with the residual amount previously observed by Hi-Res TGA experiments (vide supra).

Later, we decided to investigate the stability of DMF@InOF-1 towards H_2O by running a H_2O adsorption isotherm on a freshly synthesized DMF@InOF-1 sample at 303 K (Figure 6).

	Tal	ble	2.	Ad	lsorption	Properties	of	PCP	Material	s Stud	ied	Here
--	-----	-----	----	----	-----------	------------	----	-----	----------	--------	-----	------

sample	BET surface area $(m^2 \cdot g^{-1})$	pore volume $(cm^3 \cdot g^{-1})$	CO_2 uptake (303 K, wt %)	$\Delta H \; (\text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1})$
InOF-1	1066	0.37	5.24	45.6
DMF@InOF-1	628	0.32	8.06	32.4
EtOH@InOF-1	514	0.28	14.14	48.7



Figure 6. H_2O adsorption isotherm of DMF@InOF-1 with a maximum uptake of 33.3 w%, obtained at 303 K.

The adsorbed amount of H₂O slowly augmented with increasing pressure up to $%P/P_0 = 20$. Then, a rapid H₂O uptake was observed in the pressure range from $%P/P_0 = 20$ to 30. Finally, from $%P/P_0 = 30$ to 80, there was a steady H₂O weight increase, and the maximum H₂O uptake at $%P/P_0 = 80$ was approximately 33.3 wt %.

The overall H₂O isotherm showed a sigmoidal shape, and a slight hysteresis loop (at $\% P/P_0 = 20-30$) was observed (Figure 6, open circles). Interestingly, this H₂O adsorption isotherm is relatively similar to the one previously reported for an isostructural material entitled NOTT-400.^{22d} The maximum H₂O uptake for NOTT-400 was ~44.9 wt %, which in comparison to DMF@InOF-1 is relatively higher. This result is consistent because the DMF@InOF-1 material hosts DMF (4.2 wt %), and therefore these DMF guest molecules reduce the capacity to adsorb H₂O.

Additionally, after the H_2O adsorption experiment was finished, we decided to evaluate the maximum CO_2 capture on this sample (DMF@InOF-1) by running a kinetic CO_2 uptake experiment (see Figure S9 in the Supporting Information). The total CO_2 capture was equal to 7.4 wt %, which indicated that the total CO_2 uptake for the DMF@InOF-1 sample was slightly reduced (from approximately 8.1 to 7.4 wt %) after being exposed to high amounts of H_2O (80% relative humidity). Therefore, high amounts of H_2O can replace some of the DMF molecules in the DMF@InOF-1 material and, thus, reduce the CO_2 adsorption capacity. However, when the DMF@InOF-1 sample was left for 7 days under ambient conditions (20 °C and 50% relative humidity), the total CO_2 capture (determined by a kinetic CO_2 uptake experiment) was equal to ~8.1 wt %.

Perhaps the most important parameter for CO_2 postcombustion applications for PCPs is the CO_2 uptake at 0.15 bar and 40 °C and the N₂ uptake at 0.75 bar and the same temperature (40 °C). Then, CO_2 and N₂ adsorption experiments were performed. The CO_2 uptake of DMF@InOF-1 at 0.15 bar and 40 °C was equal to 0.22 mmol·g⁻¹, whereas for the fully activated sample (InOF-1), this value was 0.19 mmol·g⁻¹. Later, with these experimental measurements, we were able to calculate the adsorption selectivity for CO₂ (α^{39}) for both materials. Thus, the uptake values of N₂ at 40 °C and 0.75 bar for the DMF@InOF-1 and InOF-1 samples were 1.4 and 1.8 mmol·g⁻¹, respectively. The CO₂ selectivities for these materials were estimated to be $\alpha = 0.79$ and 0.53 for DMF@InOF-1 and InOF-1, respectively. Certainly, these selectivities are very low in comparison to those of other representative PCPs.³⁹

To investigate the CO₂ adsorption properties of DMF@ InOF-1, we performed static and isothermal CO₂ adsorption experiments, from 0 to 1 bar at 196 K, on the InOF-1 samples. It was decided to carry out these static CO₂ adsorption experiments at 196 K because the adsorption of CO₂ at 30 °C (303 K) is complex because of the fact that this is just 1 °C below the critical temperature of CO₂.⁴⁰ This complexity arises from the uncertainly of the density of the adsorbed phase (CO_2) , and the CO₂ saturation pressure is extremely high so that the range of P/P_0 is limited to 0.02 at subatmospheric pressures.⁴¹ Adsorption in fine micropores takes place by a pore-filling mechanism rather than surface coverage.^{41,42} For example, N₂ at 77 K can fill these micropores in a liquidlike fashion at very low relative pressures (below 0.01). Conversely, CO₂ adsorbed at around ambient temperatures (298 or 303 K) can only form a monolayer on the walls of the micropores.⁴² Thus, in order to achieve pore filling within the micropores of PCPs and, thus, a better description of the CO₂ adsorption properties of these materials, CO₂ gas adsorption experiments at 196 K are preferred.43

A CO₂ sorption experiment at 196 K was performed on a fully activated sample of InOF-1 (see Activation Methods in the Supporting Information), exhibiting a total CO₂ uptake of 5.5 mmol·g⁻¹ (24.2 wt %; see Figure 7, InOF-1). Later, a newly assynthesized InOF-1 was placed in a high-pressure cell (Belsorp HP) and activated (453 K and 10⁻³ bar for 1 h) "in situ" to prepare DMF@InOF-1. The CO₂ uptake was measured from 0



Figure 7. Static CO_2 adsorption/desorption performed from 0 to 1 bar at 196 K on InOF-1 (black circles), DMF@InOF-1 (red circles), and as-synthesized InOF-1 (blue circles): solid circles, adsorption; open circles, desorption.

to 1 bar at 196 K, and the resultant CO₂ capture was 7.5 mmol· g⁻¹ (33.2 wt %; Figure 7, DMF@InOF-1). Exceptionally, at 1 bar and 196 K, the CO₂ capture was approximately 1.4-fold increased (from 5.5 to 7.5 mmol g^{-1}) even if part of the pore volume is filled by the residual DMF. The assessment of the BET surface area of DMF@InOF-1 was equal to 628 $m^2 \cdot g^{-1}$ with a pore volume of $0.32 \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ (lower values than those for the fully activated InOF-1 vide supra, and see Table 2). When these values are compared to those of different PCP materials, DMF@InOF-1 consistently showed, at 196 K, a CO₂ uptake of 7.5 mmol·g⁻¹, which is (in comparison) approximately half of the value for a microporous PCP material entitled PCM-15⁴⁴ (14.1 mmol·g⁻¹). However, PCM-15 exhibited a BET surface area of 1187 m²·g⁻¹, almost double the BET surface area of DMF@InOF-1. An additional example shows that these values for DMF@InOF-1 are also consistent with the results reported for PCM-16,45 which showed a BET surface area of 1511 m². g^{-1} and a CO₂ uptake of 19.8 mmol· g^{-1} . These two values (the BET surface area and CO₂ uptake at 196 K) for PCM-16 are almost 3 times the observed values for DMF@InOF-1.

Finally, an as-synthesized InOF-1 sample was loaded into a high-pressure cell (Belsorp HP). After mild evacuation at room temperature to remove any absorbed moisture, a static CO₂ adsorption isotherm was started at 196 K and 1 bar (Figure 7, as-synthesized InOF-1). The total uptake at 1 bar was approximately 1.0 mmol·g⁻¹ (4.4 wt %). This low CO₂ uptake, at the cryogenic temperature of 196 K, signifies adsorption onto the surface of the material. In order to evaluate possible sample degradation after dynamic and static CO₂ capture experiments, PXRD analysis of each sample was carried out, confirming retention of the crystallinity in all samples (see Figure S10 in the Supporting Information).

CONCLUSIONS

When samples of as-synthesized InOF-1 were thermally activated, vide supra, a small residual amount of DMF molecules (confined) was preserved within the pores of InOF-1 (4.2 wt %), affording DMF@InOF-1. Dynamic and isothermal CO₂ experiments on DMF@InOF-1 showed a CO₂ capture of 8.03 wt %, which is approximately 1.5-fold higher than that of a fully activated InOF-1 (5.24%). DMF@InOF-1 can reversibly adsorb/desorb 8.09% CO₂ with no loss of CO₂ capacity after 10 cycles, and the desorption is accomplished by turning the CO_2 flow off without the need for changing the temperature or using inert gas. These properties are the result of the presence of residual DMF molecules in the InOF-1 framework and their interactions via a very strong hydrogen bond with the $In_2(\mu$ -OH) groups that prevents DMF leaching and thus conserves the CO₂ sequestration activity even after the 10 cycles. The stability of this hydrogen bond is given by a perfect fit of the DMF molecule in the "dent" around the OH group that allows a nearly perfect orientation of the DMF molecule toward the OH group. Furthermore, static and isothermal CO₂ experiments (from 0 to 1 bar of CO₂ and 196 K) also demonstrated a 1.4-fold CO₂ sequestration increase (from 5.5 mmol·g⁻¹, fully activated InOF-1, to 7.5 mmol·g⁻¹ DMF@InOF-1). The BET surface area for DMF@InOF-1 was estimated to be $628 \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$, and, interestingly, its CO₂ uptake at 196 K (7.5 mmol·g⁻¹) is very much consistent with PCP materials that exhibited larger BET surface areas (and therefore higher CO₂ uptakes at 196 K), e.g., PCM-15⁴⁴ and PCM-16.⁴⁵

Finally, these findings explain the key role of the host–guest interaction in the CO_2 capture and allow the design of more

efficient systems working under very mild and reversible conditions. Such work is currently underway.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.inorg-chem.7b00519.

Experimental details, TGA, Hi-Res TGA, and PXRD data, adsorption microcalorimetry for CO_2 data, DSC for DMF, and postcombustion CO_2 application experiments (PDF)

X-ray crystallographic data in CIF format (CIF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: egz@xanum.uam.mx (E.G.-Z.).

*E-mail: vjancik@unam.mx (V.J.).

*E-mail: argel@unam.mx (I.A.I.). Fax: +52(55) 5622-4595.

ORCID [©]

Elí Sánchez-González: 0000-0002-5440-329X

Vojtech Jancik: 0000-0002-1007-1764

Ilich A. Ibarra: 0000-0002-8573-8033

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Dr. A. Tejeda-Cruz (PXRD; IIM-UNAM), CONACyT Mexico (Grant 212318), and PAPIIT UNAM Mexico (Grant IN101517) for financial support. E.G.-Z. thanks CONACyT Mexico (Grant 236879) for financial support. Thanks go to U. Winnberg (ITAM) for scientific discussions. V.J. acknowledges the financial support of CONACyT (Grant 179348). E.S.-G. thanks CONACyT Mexico (Grant 289042).

DEDICATION

This paper is dedicated to Professor Silvia Bulbulian on the occasion of her 87th birthday.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Chu, S. Carbon Capture and Sequestration. *Science* **2009**, *325*, 1599. (b) Haszeldine, R. S. Carbon Capture and Storage: How Green Can Black Be? *Science* **2009**, *325*, 1647–1652.

(2) Olivier, J. G. J.; Janssens-Maenhout, G.; Muntean, M.; Peters, J. A. H. W. *Trends in Global CO*₂ *Emissions: 2015 Report;* PBL Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency: The Hague, The Netherlands, 2015; pp 4-5.

(3) Ertl, G.; Knoezinger, H. Handbook of Heterogeneous Catalysis; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 1997; Vol. 5, p 2800.

(4) (a) Kang, X.; Chuang, S. S. C. Transient In Situ IR Study of Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO on Cu-ZSM-5. *Interfacial Applications in Environmental Engineering*; Surfactant Science Series 108; Marcel Dekker: New York, 2003; pp 25–38;. (b) Chi, Y.; Chuang, S. S. C. Infrared Study of NO Adsorption and Reduction with C_3H_6 in the Presence of O_2 over CuO/Al₂O₃. *J. Catal.* 2000, 190, 75–91.

(5) (a) D'Alessandro, D. M.; Smit, B.; Long, J. R. Carbon Dioxide Capture: Prospects for New Materials. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 6058–6082. (b) Sumida, K.; Rogow, D. L.; Mason, J. A.; McDonald, T. M.; Bloch, E. D.; Herm, Z. R.; Bae, T.-H.; Long, J. R. Carbon Dioxide Capture in Metal Organic Frameworks. *Chem. Rev.* 2012, *112*, 724–781.

(6) (a) Rochelle, G. T. Amine Scrubbing for CO_2 Capture. Science **2009**, 325, 1652–1654. (b) Karadas, F.; Atilhan, M.; Aparicio, S. Review on the Use of Ionic Liquids (ILs) as Alternative Fluids for CO $_2$ Capture and Natural Gas Sweetening. Energy Fuels **2010**, 24, 5817–5828.

(7) Khatri, R. A.; Chuang, S. S. C.; Soong, Y.; Gray, M. Carbon Dioxide Capture by Diamine-Grafted SBA-15: A Combined Fourier Transform Infrared and Mass Spectrometry Study. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2005**, *44*, 3702–3708.

(8) Poliakoff, M.; Leitner, W.; Streng, E. S. The Twelve Principles of CO₂ CHEMISTRY. *Faraday Discuss.* **2015**, *183*, 9–17.

(9) (a) Yang, S.; Martin, G. S. B.; Titman, J. J.; Blake, A. J.; Allan, D. R.; Champness, N. R.; Schröder, M. Pore with Gate: Enhancement of the Isosteric Heat of Adsorption of Dihydrogen via Postsynthetic Cation Exchange in Metal-Organic Frameworks. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50, 9374-9384. (b) Yang, S.; Lin, X.; Blake, A. J.; Walker, G. S.; Hubberstey, P.; Champness, N. R.; Schröder, M. Cation-Induced Kinetic Trapping and Enhanced Hydrogen Adsorption in a Modulated Anionic Metal-organic Framework. Nat. Chem. 2009, 1, 487-493. (c) Nuñez, A. J.; Shear, L. N.; Dahal, N.; Ibarra, I. A.; Yoon, J.; Hwang, Y. K.; Chang, J.-S.; Humphrey, S. M. A Coordination Polymer of (Ph₂P)AuCl Prepared by Post-Synthetic Modification and Its Application in 1-Hexene/n-Hexane Separation. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 11855-11857. (d) Lin, X.; Blake, A. J.; Wilson, C.; Sun, X. Z.; Champness, N. R.; George, M. W.; Hubberstey, P.; Mokaya, R.; Schröder, M. A Porous Framework Polymer Based on a Zinc(II) 4,4'-Bipyridine-2,6,2',6'-Tetracarboxylate: Synthesis, Structure, and "Zeolite-Like" Behaviors. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 10745-10753. (e) Nugent, P.; Belmabkhout, Y.; Burd, S. D.; Cairns, A. J.; Luebke, R.; Forrest, K.; Pham, T.; Ma, S.; Space, B.; Wojtas, L.; Eddaoudi, M.; Zaworotko, M. J. Porous Materials with Optimal Adsorption Thermodynamics and Kinetics for CO₂ Separation. Nature 2013, 495, 80-84. (f) Shekhah, O.; Belmabkhout, Y.; Chen, Z.; Guillerm, V.; Cairns, A.; Adil, K.; Eddaoudi, M. Made-to-Order Metal-Organic Frameworks for Trace Carbon Dioxide Removal and Air Capture. Nat. Commun. 2014, 5, 4228. (g) Bloch, W. M.; Burgun, A.; Coghlan, C. J.; Lee, R.; Coote, M. L.; Doonan, C. J.; Sumby, C. J. Capturing Snapshots of Post-Synthetic Metallation Chemistry in Metal-organic Frameworks. Nat. Chem. 2014, 6, 906-912. (h) Okada, K.; Ricco, R.; Tokudome, Y.; Styles, M. J.; Hill, A. J.; Takahashi, M.; Falcaro, P. Copper Conversion into Cu(OH)₂ Nanotubes for Positioning Cu₃(BTC)₂ MOF Crystals: Controlling the Growth on Flat Plates, 3D Architectures, and as Patterns. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2014, 24, 1969-1977. (i) Li, H.; Hill, M. R.; Huang, R.; Doblin, C.; Lim, S.; Hill, A. J.; Babarao, R.; Falcaro, P. Facile Stabilization of Cyclodextrin Metalorganic Frameworks under Aqueous Conditions via the Incorporation of C₆₀ in Their Matrices. Chem. Commun. 2016, 52, 5973-5976. (j) Sumida, K.; Moitra, N.; Reboul, J.; Fukumoto, S.; Nakanishi, K.; Kanamori, K.; Furukawa, S.; Kitagawa, S. Mesoscopic Superstructures of Flexible Porous Coordination Polymers Synthesized via Coordination Replication. Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 5938-5946. (k) Hirai, K.; Furukawa, S.; Kondo, M.; Uehara, H.; Sakata, O.; Kitagawa, S. Sequential Functionalization of Porous Coordination Polymer Crystals. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 8057-8061. (1) Nguyen, N. T. T.; Lo, T. N. H.; Kim, J.; Nguyen, H. T. D.; Le, T. B.; Cordova, K. E.; Furukawa, H. Mixed-Metal Zeolitic Imidazolate Frameworks and Their Selective Capture of Wet Carbon Dioxide over Methane. Inorg. Chem. 2016, 55, 6201-6207. (m) Seth, S.; Savitha, G.; Moorthy, J. N. Carbon Dioxide Capture by a Metal-Organic Framework with Nitrogen-Rich Channels Based on Rationally Designed Triazole-Functionalized Tetraacid Organic Linker. Inorg. Chem. 2015, 54, 6829-6835.

(10) (a) Tozawa, T.; Jones, J. T. A.; Swamy, S. I.; Jiang, S.; Adams, D. J.; Shakespeare, S.; Clowes, R.; Bradshaw, D.; Hasell, T.; Chong, S. Y.; Tang, C.; Thompson, S.; Parker, J.; Trewin, A.; Bacsa, J.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Steiner, A.; Cooper, A. I. Porous Organic Cages. *Nat. Mater.* **2009**,

8, 973–978. (b) Dawson, R.; Adams, D. J.; Cooper, A. I. Chemical Tuning of CO₂ Sorption in Robust Nanoporous Organic Polymers. *Chem. Sci.* **2011**, *2*, 1173–1177. (c) Bloch, W. M.; Babarao, R.; Hill, M. R.; Doonan, C. J.; Sumby, C. J. Post-Synthetic Structural Processing in a Metal–Organic Framework Material as a Mechanism for Exceptional CO₂ /N ₂ Selectivity. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 10441–10448. (d) Mason, J. A.; Sumida, K.; Herm, Z. R.; Krishna, R.; Long, J. R. Evaluating Metal–organic Frameworks for Post-Combustion Carbon Dioxide Capture via Temperature Swing Adsorption. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2011**, *4*, 3030–3040.

(11) (a) Demessence, A.; D'Alessandro, D. M.; Foo, M. L.; Long, J. R. Strong CO₂ Binding in a Water-Stable, Triazolate-Bridged Metal–Organic Framework Functionalized with Ethylenediamine. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009, 131, 8784–8786. (b) Zhang, J.-P.; Chen, X.-M. Optimized Acetylene/Carbon Dioxide Sorption in a Dynamic Porous Crystal. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009, 131, 5516–5521. (c) Seth, S.; Savitha, G.; Moorthy, J. N. Carbon Dioxide Capture by a Metal–Organic Framework with Nitrogen-Rich Channels Based on Rationally Designed Triazole-Functionalized Tetraacid Organic Linker. *Inorg. Chem.* 2015, 54, 6829–6835.

(12) Vaidhyanathan, R.; Iremonger, S. S.; Shimizu, G. K. H.; Boyd, P. G.; Alavi, S.; Woo, T. K. Direct Observation and Quantification of CO₂ Binding Within an Amine-Functionalized Nanoporous Solid. *Science* **2010**, 330, 650–653.

(13) Pachfule, P.; Banerjee, R. Porous Nitrogen Rich Cadmium-Tetrazolate Based Metal Organic Framework (MOF) for H_2 and CO_2 Uptake. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2011**, *11*, 5176–5181.

(14) (a) Suh, M. P.; Park, H. J.; Prasad, T. K.; Lim, D.-W. Hydrogen Storage in Metal-Organic Frameworks. Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 782-835. (b) Dincă, M.; Long, J. R. Hydrogen Storage in Microporous Metal-Organic Frameworks with Exposed Metal Sites. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6766-6779. (c) Ibarra, I. A.; Lin, X.; Yang, S.; Blake, A. J.; Walker, G. S.; Barnett, S. A.; Allan, D. R.; Champness, N. R.; Hubberstey, P.; Schröder, M. Structures and H₂ Adsorption Properties of Porous Scandium Metal-Organic Frameworks. Chem. - Eur. J. 2010, 16, 13671-13679. (d) Park, J.; Kim, H.; Han, S. S.; Jung, Y. Tuning Metal-Organic Frameworks with Open-Metal Sites and Its Origin for Enhancing CO₂ Affinity by Metal Substitution. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2012, 3, 826-829. (e) Kong, X.; Scott, E.; Ding, W.; Mason, J. A.; Long, J. R.; Reimer, J. A. CO₂ Dynamics in a Metal-Organic Framework with Open Metal Sites. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 14341-14344. (f) Ibarra, I. A.; Tan, K. E.; Lynch, V. M.; Humphrey, S. M. CO₂ Adsorption Properties of a Ca(II)-Based Organophosphonium Coordination Material. Dalt. Trans. 2012, 41, 3920-3923. (g) López-Olvera, A.; Sánchez-González, E.; Campos-Reales-Pineda, A.; Aguilar-Granda, A.; Ibarra, I. A.; Rodríguez-Molina, B. CO₂ Capture in a Carbazole-Based Supramolecular Polyhedron Structure: The Significance of Cu(II) Open Metal Sites. Inorg. Chem. Front. 2017, 4, 56-64.

(15) (a) Ho, N. L.; Perez-Pellitero, J.; Porcheron, F.; Pellenq, R. J.-M. Enhanced CO₂ Solubility in Hybrid Adsorbents: Optimization of Solid Support and Solvent Properties for CO₂ Capture. J. Phys. Chem. C **2012**, 116, 3600–3607. (b) Ho, L. N.; Perez Pellitero, J.; Porcheron, F.; Pellenq, R. J.-M. Enhanced CO₂ Solubility in Hybrid MCM-41: Molecular Simulations and Experiments. Langmuir **2011**, 27, 8187– 8197. (c) Ho, N. L.; Porcheron, F.; Pellenq, R. J.-M. Experimental and Molecular Simulation Investigation of Enhanced CO₂ Solubility in Hybrid Adsorbents. Langmuir **2010**, 26, 13287–13296.

(16) (a) Volino, F.; Gérard, H.; Miachon, S. Non-Extensive Visco-Elastic Theory II. First Experimental Tests of the Simple Theory with Rotational Modes. *Ann. Phys.* **1997**, *22*, 43–82. (b) Morishige, K.; Shikimi, M. Adsorption Hysteresis and Pore Critical Temperature in a Single Cylindrical Pore. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1998**, *108*, 7821–7824. (c) Kimball, M. O.; Gasparini, F. M. Universality and Finite-Size Scaling of the Specific Heat of ³He–⁴He Mixtures. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2005**, *95*, 165701. (d) Zammit, U.; Marinelli, M.; Mercuri, F.; Paoloni, S. Effect of Confinement and Strain on the Specific Heat and Latent Heat over the Nematic–Isotropic Phase Transition of 8CB Liquid Crystal. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2009**, *113*, 14315–14322. (17) (a) Luzar, A.; Bratko, D. Gas Solubility in Hydrophobic Confinement. J. Phys. Chem. B **2005**, 109, 22545–22552. (b) Bratko, D.; Luzar, A. Attractive Surface Force in the Presence of Dissolved Gas: A Molecular Approach. Langmuir **2008**, 24, 1247–1253.

(18) Soubeyrand-Lenoir, E.; Vagner, C.; Yoon, J. W.; Bazin, P.; Ragon, F.; Hwang, Y. K.; Serre, C.; Chang, J. S.; Llewellyn, P. L. How Water Fosters a Remarkable 5-Fold Increase in Low-Pressure CO₂ Uptake within Mesoporous MIL-100(Fe). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 10174–10181.

(19) (a) Jasuja, H.; Huang, Y.; Walton, K. S. Adjusting the Stability of Metal–Organic Frameworks under Humid Conditions by Ligand Functionalization. *Langmuir* **2012**, *28*, 16874–16880. (b) Jasuja, H.; Zang, J.; Sholl, D. S.; Walton, K. S. Rational Tuning of Water Vapor and CO₂ Adsorption in Highly Stable Zr-Based MOFs. *J. Phys. Chem.* C **2012**, *116* (44), 23526–23532. (c) DeCoste, J. B.; Peterson, G. W.; Jasuja, H.; Glover, T. G.; Huang, Y.; Walton, K. S. Stability and Degradation Mechanisms of Metal–organic Frameworks Containing the $Zr_6O_4(OH)_4$ Secondary Building Unit. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2013**, *1*, 5642. (d) Burtch, N. C.; Jasuja, H.; Walton, K. S. Water Stability and Adsorption in Metal–Organic Frameworks. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 10575–10612.

(20) Cmarik, G. E.; Kim, M.; Cohen, S. M.; Walton, K. S. Tuning the Adsorption Properties of UiO-66 via Ligand Functionalization. *Langmuir* **2012**, *28*, 15606–15613.

(21) Furukawa, H.; Gándara, F.; Zhang, Y.-B.; Jiang, J.; Queen, W. L.; Hudson, M. R.; Yaghi, O. M. Water Adsorption in Porous Metal-Organic Frameworks and Related Materials. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 4369–4381.

(22) (a) Gonzalez, M. R.; González-Estefan, J. H.; Lara-García, H. A.; Sánchez-Camacho, P.; Basaldella, E. I.; Pfeiffer, H.; Ibarra, I. A. Separation of CO₂ from CH₄ and CO₂ Capture in the Presence of Water Vapour in NOTT-400. New J. Chem. 2015, 39, 2400-2403. (b) Lara-García, H. A.; Gonzalez, M. R.; González-Estefan, J. H.; Sánchez-Camacho, P.; Lima, E.; Ibarra, I. A. Removal of CO₂ from CH₄ and CO₂ Capture in the Presence of H₂O Vapour in NOTT-401. Inorg. Chem. Front. 2015, 2, 442-447. (c) Peralta, R. A.; Alcántar-Vázquez, B.; Sánchez-Serratos, M.; González-Zamora, E.; Ibarra, I. A. Carbon Dioxide Capture in the Presence of Water Vapour in InOF-1. Inorg. Chem. Front. 2015, 2, 898-903. (d) Álvarez, J. R.; Peralta, R. A.; Balmaseda, J.; González-Zamora, E.; Ibarra, I. A. Water Adsorption Properties of a Sc(III) Porous Coordination Polymer for CO₂ Capture Applications. Inorg. Chem. Front. 2015, 2, 1080-1084. (e) Sánchez-Serratos, M.; Bayliss, P. a.; Peralta, R. a.; González-Zamora, E.; Lima, E.; Ibarra, I. a. CO₂ Capture in the Presence of Water Vapour in MIL-53(Al). New J. Chem. 2016, 40, 68. (f) Zárate, A.; Peralta, R. A.; Bayliss, P. A.; Howie, R.; Sánchez-Serratos, M.; Carmona-Monroy, P.; Solis-Ibarra, D.; González-Zamora, E.; Ibarra, I. A. CO2 Capture under Humid Conditions in NH2-MIL-53(Al): The Influence of the Amine Functional Group. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 9978-9983. (g) Sánchez-González, E.; Álvarez, J. R.; Peralta, R. A.; Campos-Reales-Pineda, A.; Tejeda-Cruz, A.; Lima, E.; Balmaseda, J.; González-Zamora, E.; Ibarra, I. A. Water Adsorption Properties of NOTT-401 and CO₂ Capture under Humid Conditions. ACS Omega 2016, 1, 305-310. (h) González-Zamora, E.; Ibarra, I. A. CO₂ capture under humid conditions in metal-organic frameworks. Mater. Chem. Front. 2017, XX, XXX DOI: 10.1039/C6QM00301J.

(23) Peralta, R. A.; Campos-Reales-Pineda, A.; Pfeiffer, H.; Álvarez, J. R.; Zárate, J. A.; Balmaseda, J.; González-Zamora, E.; Martínez, A.; Martínez-Otero, D.; Jancik, V.; Ibarra, I. A. CO₂ Capture Enhancement in InOF-1 via the Bottleneck Effect of Confined Ethanol. *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, *52*, 10273–10276.

(24) Qian, J.; Jiang, F.; Yuan, D.; Wu, M.; Zhang, S.; Zhang, L.; Hong, M. Highly Selective Carbon Dioxide Adsorption in a Water-Stable Indium–organic Framework Material. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 9696.

(25) Lin, X.; Telepeni, I.; Blake, A. J.; Dailly, A.; Brown, C. M.; Simmons, J. M.; Zoppi, M.; Walker, G. S.; Thomas, K. M.; Mays, T. J.; Hubberstey, P.; Champness, N. R.; Schröder, M. High Capacity Hydrogen Adsorption in Cu(II) Tetracarboxylate Framework Materials: The Role of Pore Size, Ligand Functionalization, and Exposed Metal Sites. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 2159–2171.

(26) APEX, SAINT and SADABS; Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, WI, 2015.

(27) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXT – Integrated space-group and crystalstructure determination. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Adv. 2015, 71, 3–8.

(28) Sheldrick, G. M. Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL. Acta Crystallogr, Sect. C: Struct. Chem. 2015, 71, 3–8.

(29) Hübschle, C. B.; Sheldrick, G. M.; Dittrich, B. ShelXle: A Qt Graphical User Interface for SHELXL. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2011, 44, 1281–1284.

(30) GIMP: The GNU Image Manipulation Program, version 2.8; The GIMP Development Team, 2012; http://www.gimp.org (Jan 9, 2017). (31) Macrae, C. F.; Bruno, I. J.; Chisholm, J. A.; Edgington, P. R.; McCabe, P.; Pidcock, E.; Rodriguez-Monge, L.; Taylor, R.; van de Streek, J.; Wood, P. A. Mercury CSD 2.0 – New Features for the Visualization and Investigation of Crystal Structures. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2008, 41, 466–470.

(32) Medders, G. R.; Paesani, F. Water Dynamics in Metal–Organic Frameworks: Effects of Heterogeneous Confinement Predicted by Computational Spectroscopy. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. **2014**, *5*, 2897–2902.

(33) Haigis, V.; Coudert, F.-X.; Vuilleumier, R.; Boutin, A. Investigation of Structure and Dynamics of the Hydrated Metal-Organic Framework MIL-53(Cr) Using First-Principles Molecular Dynamics. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *15*, 19049–19056.

(34) Salles, F.; Bourrelly, S.; Jobic, H.; Devic, T.; Guillerm, V.; Llewellyn, P.; Serre, C.; Ferey, G.; Maurin, G. Molecular Insight into the Adsorption and Diffusion of Water in the Versatile Hydrophilic/ Hydrophobic Flexible MIL-53(Cr) MOF. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2011, *115*, 10764–10776.

(35) Metz, B.; Davidson, O.; Coninck, H.; de Loos, M.; Meyer, L. IPCC, 2005: IPCC Special Report on Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, U.K., 2005.

(36) McDonald, T. M.; D'Alessandro, D. M.; Krishna, R.; Long, J. R. Enhanced Carbon Dioxide Capture upon Incorporation of *N*,*N'*-Dimethylethylenediamine in the Metal–organic Framework CuBTTri. *Chem. Sci.* **2011**, *2*, 2022–2028.

(37) Britt, D.; Furukawa, H.; Wang, B.; Glover, T. G.; Yaghi, O. M. Highly Efficient Separation of Carbon Dioxide by a Metal-Organic Framework Replete with Open Metal Sites. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2009**, *106*, 20637–20640.

(38) Couck, S.; Denayer, J. F. M.; Baron, G. V.; Rémy, T.; Gascon, J.; Kapteijn, F. An Amine-Functionalized MIL-53 Metal–Organic Framework with Large Separation Power for CO_2 and CH_4 . J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 6326–6327.

(39) Ibarra, I. A.; Mace, A.; Yang, S.; Sun, J.; Lee, S.; Chang, J.-S.; Laaksonen, A.; Schröder, M.; Zou, X. *Inorg. Chem.* **2016**, *55*, 7219.

(40) CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 85th ed.; Lide, D. R., Ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 2004.

(41) Rouquerol, F.; Rouquerol, J.; Sing, K. S. W.; Llewellyn, P.; Maurin, G. Adsorption by Powders and Porous Solids; Principles, Methodology and Applications, 2nd ed.; Academic Press: Oxford, U.K., 2014.

(42) Garrido, J.; Linares-Solano, A.; Martín-Martínez, J. M.; Molina-Sabio, M.; Rodríguez-Reinoso, F.; Torregrosa, R. Use of nitrogen vs. carbon dioxide in the characterization of activated carbons. *Langmuir* **1987**, *3*, 76–81.

(43) (a) Park, H. J.; Suh, M. P. Mixed-Ligand Metal-Organic Frameworks with Large Pores: Gas Sorption Properties and Single-Crystal-to-Single-Crystal Transformation on Guest Exchange. *Chem.* -*Eur. J.* 2008, *14*, 8812–8821. (b) Humphrey, S. M.; Chang, J. S.; Jhung, S. H.; Yoon, J. W.; Wood, P. T. Porous cobalt(II)-Organic Frameworks with Corrugated Walls: Structurally Robust Gas-Sorption Materials. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2007, *46*, 272–275. (c) Lee, J.; Waggoner, N. W.; Polanco, L.; You, G. R.; Lynch, V. M.; Kim, S. K.; Humphrey, S. M.; Sessler, J. L. Ship in a Breakable Bottle: Fluoride-Induced Release of an Organic Molecule from a Pr(III)-Linked Molecular Cage. *Chem. Commun.* 2016, *52*, 8514–8517. (44) (a) Ibarra, I. A.; Hesterberg, T. W.; Chang, J.-S.; Yoon, J. W.; Holliday, B. J.; Humphrey, S. M. Molecular Sensing and Discrimination by a Luminescent Terbium-Phosphine Oxide Coordination Material. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 7156–7158. (b) Ibarra, I. A.; Hesterberg, T. W.; Chang, J.-S.; Yoon, J. W.; Holliday, B. J.; Humphrey, S. M.; Zhang, J.; Williams, J. A. G.; Woods, M.; Huberstey, P.; Champness, N. R.; Thomas, K. M.; Blake, A. J.; Schröder, M. Molecular Sensing and Discrimination by a Luminescent Terbiumphosphine Oxide Coordination Material. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 7156.

(45) Ibarra, I. A.; Yoon, J. W.; Chang, J.-S.; Lee, S. K.; Lynch, V. M.; Humphrey, S. M. Organic Vapor Sorption in a High Surface Area Dysprosium(III)–Phosphine Oxide Coordination Material. *Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, *51*, 12242–12247.